

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * * * *

FRANK M. PECK,
Plaintiff,
vs.
WASHOE COUNTY; *et al.*,
Defendants.

)
) 3:09-cv-00381-LRH-VPC
) ORDER
)
)
)
)
)

Before the court is Plaintiff's Objections to Magistrate Judge's Ruling (#90¹), which the court will treat as a motion to reconsider Magistrate's Order (#83). Defendant filed a Response to Objection to Magistrate Judge's Rulings (#91).

The Court has conducted its review in this case, has fully considered the parties' pleadings, and other relevant matters of record pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b) (1), and concludes that the Magistrate Judge's ruling was neither clearly erroneous nor contrary to law.

The Magistrate Judge's Order (#83) will, therefore, be sustained and Plaintiff's motion (#90) is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 2nd day of November, 2012.



LARRY R. HICKS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹Refers to this court's docket number.